	SENDER WILL CH	IECK CLA	SSIF TIO	N TOP A	ND BC	ТРОМ	b
	UNCLASSIFIE		CONFIDE			SECRET	
	CEN <sup>-</sup>	TRAL INTE	ELLIGENCE A	GENCY			
			ROUTING				
				DA		INITIALS	
то	NAME AND ADDRESS			+	<u>'</u>	INTIALS	
1	٦		٦	1. 5	_		
				1			
2							1
3							l
				-			Ì
4	·		4				•
		<del></del>		1			1
5		·					1
6						,	
<del></del> -		1 100	DEAT DEDIV	<del>                                     </del>	DEDARE	DEDLY	ł
	ACTION	<del></del>	RECT REPLY SPATCH		REPARE	ENDATION	İ
	COMMENT	<del></del>	LE	<del></del>	RETURN SIGNATURE		1
	CONCURRENCE	IN	FORMATION				1
Rer	narks: Fy 7						] .
	12		•			part 3	1
					la	proces.	
•	Mara		, N	ww	-	`	
	glove,	.10					
	U als 7		*				
	h .	•	BECI	ACCIE	160		1
			CENI	18 11 1	TEU /	AND RELEAS LIBENCE A	S E D
	•		5011	LIESME	- 1 5 L	SEXEMPTION	EN
	•		NAZI	WARE	RIMEC	BISCLOSUI	M 3 B
			ĐATE	2007	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		NG A
				,			
	FOLD	HERE TO	RETURN TO	O SEND	ER		1
	FROM: NAM					DATE	1
			, <del>-</del>		7	Colon	]
			L-		لــ	12010	,
	UNCLASSIFIE	D	CONFIDE	NTIAL	٠,	SECRET	
FORM (	NO. 927 Use previou				RINTING O	(40 FFICE: 1961 0-58728	•

10 Feb. 1966

MEMO FOR: C/CI/R&A

SUBJECT: Inputting "low" level, old info.

Ref.: Recent memo from "

I happened to run across an example today that John might want to keep on tap, if ever needed re SR or SG. If info came in today, or old document were found re a doorman, USSR Embassy in Washington, DC, in Feb. '54, should info be input into RIS system?

No doubt there are other and maybe better examples to support indexing and inputting of "old" info re "low" level personnel.

One also wonders just what CHERN. did in USA? what contacts he had with US or British citizens? why was he sent here at that time and why did he leave before 2 year tour ended? why under doorman cover? was he under less FBI scrutiny because of "doorman" cover? Perhaps he and his career deserve even closer attention?

cc: C/CI/SIG

CI/OPS

 $\supset$ 

9 February 1966

## RGR Comment:

I think Jean has hit the nerve. We have been going at the problem of records as if we were solely concerned with FI interests since the mid-fifties. I will be the first to concede that there was plenty of room for improvement and I think the Staff's record is clear and constructive in going more than half way in reasonable adjustments and solutions to the enumbrable problems. Now, however, I feel that we must stand on principle against further cutbacks if the basic needs of the CI function are going to be satisfied. The fact is that CI finds it resources -- investigative and analytical -without reference to the clandestinity of access. There is really no difference in the acquisition of information of a CI nature between what is covertly acquired through an operation and what is acquired through reading and analyzing overt sources. This is dramatically illustrated by the case Jean cites in the matter of defector handling. By and large, we have done badly, it has taken us too long to acquire too little from our defectors because the interrogating officers had little to contribute to developing the information.

## SECRET (When Filled In)

REPLY  REPLY  A TOTAL TOTAL  A DATE	, P:			'LY R	EQUE	STED	DATE		
ATTN:  STORTFORE  REPLY  DATE	, F <sub>f</sub>	SPEED LETTER	-	YES		N O			
REPLY DATE	то :		ROM:						
REPLY DATE	ATTN:								
REPLY DATE								*	
REPLY DATE									
REPLY DATE	·								
REPLY DATE									
REPLY			:			ė,		21 - 234 21 - 32 23 25 21 - 43	
REPLY			<del>_</del>						
		REPLY					DATE		
						•			
								,	
				i			•		
SIGNATURE			_						

FORM 1831